

Good morning, everybody. Good morning. Welcome to this preliminary meeting. Which is being held in connection with an application made by the North Lincoln Green Energy Park Limited for a development consent order for the construction and operation of the North Lincolnshire Green Energy Park Project, which includes the construction and operation of a combined heat and power enabled energy generating station with an electrical output of up to 95 megawatts incorporating carbon capture associated district heat and private wire networks, hydrogen production, ash treatment, and others associated developments. Now, before I go further, can I confirm that everyone in the room can hear me clearly? Thank you. And that those participating via teams can hear and see me clearly.

Yeah. Confirm. Okay. Thank you. For those people watching the live stream, I should advise that if we at any point adjourn proceedings this morning, we will have to stop the live stream in order to give us clear recording files as a result, at that point of which we recommence the meeting and we restart the live stream.

You will need to refresh your browser page to view the restarted livestream. I will remind you again of this should we need to adjourn now, let me introduce myself and the other member of the panel. My name is Edwin Maund. I'm a chartered town planner and a planning inspector, and I've been appointed by the Secretary of State for levelling up housing in communities as the lead member of the panel of examining inspectors.

That is to examine this application. The other member of the panel, Dr. Brewer, will now introduce himself to you.

Thank you, Edwin. Good morning everyone. My name is Dr. Phil Brewer. I'm a member of the institute acoustics and the planning inspector, and I've been appointed by the secretary of state for levelling up housing and communities as a member of the panel of examining inspectors to examine this application, I will now hand back to Mr Maund.

Thank you.

Let me also introduce our colleagues from the planning inspectorate who'll be assisting us today. We have the case manager Sarah Norris, supported by Jake Stevens, both here in person and Steven Parker supporting us remotely. Now during this preliminary meeting, if you have any questions or queries about the examination or the technology, we are using

The case team should be your first point of contact. Their contact details can be found at the top of any letter you've received from us or on the project page of the national infrastructure website. Now before I go into the main agenda items for this meeting, I'll ask Dr. Brewer to highlight a few housekeeping and background matters to note for today.

Thank you. Whilst I'm not aware of any request, Having been made for support to enable participation in this preliminary meeting. Can I just check? That is correct.

Thank you. I'm not seeing any responses, so I'll continue. With regard to recording, as explained in the rule six letter dated 18th of October, 2022, a digital record of this meeting will be made. And the meeting is also being live streamed to assist viewers and listeners, anyone speaking, should introduce themselves each time they speak.

As the recordings are retained and published, they form a public record that can contain personal information to which the General Data Protection Regulation applies. The implications of this are explained further in the Rule six letter at annex B. And the planning inspector that's privacy notice is linked in the same part of the letter

as rule B of the rule six letter explains if there's a need to refer to information that participants would otherwise wish to be kept private and confidential. It should be in written form one. If you prefer not to have your image recorded, you can switch your camera off.

With regard to minimizing background noise. For those of you attending by teams, I will repeat the request made in the arrangements conference. Please ensure your microphone or telephone is muted and that you stay muted unless you're speaking. For those of you attending in person, unless you are in emergency contact, please set any personal mobile devices to silent.

From the Arrangement's conference, we know who wishes to speak on which agenda of items. We will use this information to ensure that you're introduced for those items. At certain points, we may ask you to use the hands up function. If you do not manage to ask your question or raise your point at the relevant stage, there will be an opportunity at the end of the meeting for you to raise.

Under item eight, any other matters if necessary. I would also in remind people that the chat function on Microsoft teams will not work, so please do not try to use this to ask any questions or post comments

with regard to breaks and proceedings during a physical meeting. We would normally have breaks to avoid fatigue, and we'll do the same in this blended meeting. , our intention is to take a 15 minute break every 90 minutes or so, depending on the progress of the meeting. A longer lunch break will be taken approximately 1:00 PM if we have not completed the meeting by then.

So are there any questions on the housekeeping issues covered so far? No. Thank you. So I'll now hand back to Mr. Muand.

Just some final housekeeping. I'm advised there's no intention to have a fire practice or alarm practice today, but in the event something does happen if you can exit via the doors that you've come through, back through the main reception, and there's a meeting point just to the left as you've come through the main doors.

So hopefully there'll be no need for those. That's where we should convene in the event that there's some unusual event. Thank you. Now, if we go to the main items on the agenda, I've been provided a list by the case team of those interested persons who've requested to be heard today. And I'll now ask those of you who are participating in today's meeting to introduce yourselves to.

And the people who are watching the live stream of this event. So if I can begin with the applicant please. Good morning, sir. I'm Claire from Womble Dickinson and I be representing the applicant

Thank you north Lincolnshire Council. Yeah, thank you. Apologies, we didn't have many tables. I, at the end with the applicant. Yeah, Andrew Law. I'm a principal in the development management team at council, and we'll be representing council today. Thank you. Mr. James from Associated British Ports.

Yes. Hello there. My name's Tom James. I'm representing the Harbor Master today. Thank you. A colleague of mine.

Thank you. Mr. Do from United Kingdom without Incineration Network, who I think is participating virtually? Yes, I am Dean, participating virtually. Hello. I am Josh Doan from UK Win or the United Kingdom without. Can I just pause you a missed a moment, Ms. Stone, cuz I can't see you, so I'm hoping Okay.

A connection can be made so we can also. I'll let you know, hopefully in a second.

Okay. I'm advised your camera's not on if, is that because you don't wish to be seen or is it just no, I, my camera is on. I'll just try turning off and on again and see if that helps.

Now, Mr. Morton, this is Steve Parker, the case officer. I can confirm that Mr. Darwin is showing on my screen as are you. So hopefully should be able to see him shortly. Thank you for that Mr. Parker.

I wonder if whilst the team are trying to resolve the technical matters, if I go through the other people who are in attendance within the room, and then I'll come back to you, Mr. Doe, if that's right. Yep. Fine. Thank you. Alan Craddock.

All right, thank you.

Now I believe that's all the people who had registered to attend and to speak, but is there anyone else in the room who would wish to speak? So if I can ? Sorry. Yes you're quite correct. Hello Council. Elaine Marper. I'm the ward counselor for Burton and Winton, which of. Incorporates FlexPro north Links Council Ward Counselor, Elaine Marper.

Thank you. If I can go to the representatives of Ray, I apologize. You're quite right. You did register couple.

Simon Nicholson. Chairman of the working group rain Residents Against Incineration. Thank you.

And is there anyone else in the room who would wish to speak today? If the item comes up on the agenda later that you wish to speak on, you'll still be allowed to do so don't worry if you don't wish to say anything at the moment. That's fine. Can I just then ask the audio visual company, have you resolved?

Feed into the room so that we would be able to see any participants.

Do you have any idea of the length of time it might take to resolve continues? Okay.

It's just in the room. Yeah. Yeah. If we just pause for a moment. Hopefully that's okay with everybody. Cause it would be nice to see the other participants, but

Thank you, Mr. Do we can now see you. So hopefully you can hear us and if you switch your microphone on, you'll be able to introduce yourself. Excellent. So I am Josh doin from UK WIN or the United Kingdom Without Consummation Network. Super. Thank you very much for your patience on that.

Okay, so the purpose of today's preliminary meeting is to assist us the examining authority in determining the process that we will follow in conducting the examination. I would reiterate that we'll not be hearing comments on the merits of the application today, as these will be dealt with during the examination.

Which does not begin until after the preliminary meeting has formally closed. We have read the responses to the procedural deadline, a this written submissions on examination procedure and timetable, and the request to be heard orally at this meeting and will be hearing room from participants shortly.

I would stress that those written submissions received carry equal weight to any oral submissions made. Now. The initial examination proposals were set out in our Rule six letter of the 18th of October, inviting you to this meeting, and our aim is to keep this meeting as efficient and focused as possible. And in that respect, we anticipate that you will have read that Rule six letter and the annexes prior to this meeting, including the National Infrastructure Planning Privacy notice.

And the advice note, 8.6 on virtual examination events. Now, further information on the contents, the agenda items have been provided in the detailed agenda itself. I would also, I like to express our gratitude for those who've made the effort to provide written submissions and will aim to pick up any individual points as we go through the agenda.

Now, obviously we have. Some procedural decisions already to hold this meeting as a blended event and the subsequent issue, specific hearings tomorrow and on Thursday as blended events and the applicant in there responses to the deadline a have indicated their preference for continuing in that format.

So may I just seek other parties views on this?

Absolutely fine with us. Okay. Yeah. Thank you. Thank you. Do you wish to say anything further from the applicant's point of view?

Okay. Thank you for that. Okay, I move on the agenda item four which is the initial assessment of principal issues. And, sorry, I hand my hand off for that. I want to say that. Okay. , I would also support a blended event cause that's the only way that we would be able to participate in the event

Thank you Mr. Doe. Sorry, I didn't see your raised hand there. Remind me to look to my right to pick that up in the future. Okay, sorry. I go onto the agenda item for the initial assessment of principal issues. They're set out in Annex C of the Rule six letter. This is not intended to be exhaustive or set in stone for the duration of the examination.

And it does not preclude us from amending the list by removing or adding to the principal issues for consideration. At a later stage, we will take into account all issues and evidence brought before us, and the initial assessment of principal issues will not constrain the examination of other important and relevant matters to which is not routinely updated as it's only the initial assessment.

But the examining authority will examine all issues raised throughout the examination and will have regard to all important and relevant matters during the examination. And when we write our recommendation report to the sector of state. Now, just come now to interested parties to speak in, turn on this agenda item and see if there are any views or concerns about.

Issues that we've identified. So I'll open that up to the room in the first instance. Has anyone got any points that they would wish to raise?

Okay, so come to the council next then.

It's been produced and it thank you . Hear me? Okay? Yes, and it picks up the issues that are identified in our relevant representation. We're happy with the the list of principal issues as they're currently set out. Thank you. Thank you. Anyone participating? Virtually if I come to them, my screen's gone blank, so I can't see whether anyone has.

Hand up. Okay, thank you. So no hands going up there. So if I just come finally to the applicant then,

so would you like us to introduce ourselves each time we speak? Cause I know. On occasion. That's helpful for the recording. It's your entire job. Yes, please. Yes, please. Yes, so we confirmed in our procedural deadline response that we equally feel that it is a comprehensive list and recognize that it's not exhaustive at this stage.

So we are also comfortable. Thank you. Okay. So I'll move on then to the next item, which is item five, which is the draft examination timetable. We have noticed that there is an error in it. In deadline nine. It says May, 2022. We don't have a time machines, we won't be going back, so we will correct that when we issue the Rule eight early next week.

So I apologize for that error. But the timetable sets out a series of deadlines for written submissions and notifications, the dates and formats for hearing. An arrangements for an accompanied site inspection. We've received one request from the applicant for an adjustment to the timetable, so if I can come to the applicant first please.

Claire Brook for the applicant. Yes. In our procedural deadline response, we indicated that with respect to deadline seven, that's currently set for the 12th of April, 2023, and we noted that with the Easter weekend falling that weekend, prior Easter Monday. Falls on the 10th of April. So we felt it may make sense, if possible to extend that deadline by a couple of days to the 14th of April.

We don't believe that would have any material effect on the overall timetable in terms of ensuring that we do finish within the six month timeframe. So that was the key change that we wanted to identify or request. Thank you. So if I open that up to other parties, then whether you have any concerns about the timetable as drafted, or the suggestion that's come from the applicant about deadline seven.

Any particular issues anyone would wish to raise on any of those points?

Thank you. Shes just getting with the roving mic. I was going to ask on Councillor Marper, by the way, we'll get used to this, won't we? On behalf of the residents, if you could consider a slight. Change of timings to the hearings. I e people that are working nine to five. If we extended it to say, start a little bit later and end a little bit later, it may well afford the opportunity for those that are still at the office to actually participate and observe the proceedings.

Thank you. Thank you.

Anyone else wish to add anything further from within the room? Yeah, thank.

Yeah. Thank you sir. Sorry. Yeah, Andrew Law from North Lancs council. Yeah we have no objection to the change that has been suggested by the applicant with regards to deadline seven. It appears logical given the bank holiday weekend. Otherwise happy with the the draft time table that you've set out.

Thank you. Okay, thank you. Mr. Nichols, Nicholson for rain. I wholeheartedly agree with Elaine Marper that the residents should be given a better opportunity to respond as the working week is the same as yours there may be some provision to allow more inclusive participation. Thank you.

And anyone attending virtually, is there any issue that you would wish to raise on this particular agenda item?

Okay. Again, I can't see any hands up, so if I just come back to the applicant if there's any further response you'd wish to make on the comments you have heard. Thank you sir. Claire Brook for the applicant. One comment in relation to the request on timings, certainly we can be flexible on timings in relation to the open floor hearing.

I don't know yet what the intention is around timings for that particular hearing where in particular, members of the public will want to attend. Make representations. I know on occasion they have been arranged outside of working hours or running into the evening, but at this stage we don't yet have the timings for that, but that clearly is one option that you could consider.

Thank you. I think I'll say now that's something that we had anticipated. And at the moment the open floor hearings, if they're requested, cuz they don't automatically happen, but assuming that they are requested, they're currently scheduled for the week commencing the 23rd of January and we would be quite content to consider holding an evening session or sessions if there was a desire for that to aid participation in the examination.

So we'll obviously see what requests. That's, it's clearly our intention to engage with the community as well as we can. Thank you.

I think the only other item on this agenda is the arrangements for site inspections. Again, currently that's scheduled for week commencing the 23rd of January, and we are obviously inviting participants to identify sites that they think is important for us to be seeing the application site from.



You'll see that we've already undertaken a unaccompanied visit where we were able to view the site from public roads and footpaths, but if there. If there are private areas of land that you think it's important for us to visit, to be able to see the application from then there is an opportunity for you to point that out to us.

There is within the timetable series of dates, which we are asking you to combine. So if I just go through we're currently requesting suggested sites to be included within the accompanied site with appropriate justification by Deadline one, which is the 1st of December, and then the applicant to provide for a draft itinerary to be submitted by Deadline two, which is the 15th of December.

And then for our interested parties to provide any comments on that draft itinerary by deadline three, which is the 12th of January, so that. Plenty of time, hopefully in advance of the 23rd of January to get a full and comprehensive list. Hopefully everyone's content with that, but I'll just again, come to the room to make sure that's okay.

Or if anyone has any comments please say

I just come to the council then?

Yes. Thank you sir. Yeah, Andrew Orhan Council. We are content with the the provisions within the draft timetable. And we'll be intending to submit suggested locations at Deadline One. Thank you. Thank you. Mr. Doan and I can see your hand raise.

Thank you very much. Is just in relation to item five I'm aware that the applicant outlined that they were interested in particularly extending some of the deadline of the state common ground. I dunno if this might be the right time to discuss that matter. At the moment we haven't actually started the protest of doing statements of common ground with the applicants cause We're still waiting to hear back from them. We're interested to know what their current position is on that topic.

Okay, that's fine. I'd intended to cover that under the next item. So if you can bear with us we'll deal with that shortly. But just on the accompanied site inspection, if there's no other comments, I'll just come back to the applicant.

Before moving on to the next agenda item.

Claire Brook for the applicant. Yes. So we're very comfortable with those timeframes for the accompanied site visit in the various stages of going through agreeing that list. Thank you.

Thank you. Okay, so that takes me onto item six procedural decisions.

We've taken. Today we've accepted late relevant representations from both Network Rail and National Highways . We've also accepted additional submissions from the applicant in response to advice following the acceptance of the application, and obviously to undertake the issue specific hearings tomorrow and Thursday, the 16th and 17th of November as blended events to be held here and virtually in the team's environment.

So if I come now to the request for statements of common. Mr. Doan obviously made a point on this, so if I can just come to other parties on that. Is there anything that anyone would wish to raise before I go to the applicant?

Okay. If I can come to the applicant, then thank you.

Claire Brook for the applicant? Yes in relation to statements of common ground, generally we note the procedural decision and the proposed list at annexe F. And I think it's fair to say there's quite a long list of parties that you are seeking stamps of common ground.

With we have commenced engagement with a majority of people on that list and I believe we have exchanged an initial email with UK WIN as well, and are very happy to engage with them to try and reach agreement or areas of disagreement and identify those appropriately. In terms of the identifying deadlines, deadline one.

You are asking for copies of drafts of stamps of common ground, as well as a statement of commonality, and then at deadline two, again, there's a request for updated statements of common ground. I think I would highlight at this stage that we will use our best endeavors to get as many of those as possible to you for deadline 1.

But it may be that some of those do pass into deadline two, which is two weeks later on the 15th of December. So we're certainly working very hard now with all parties identified. And I think we've made contact with almost everyone Bar one, where we're trying to seek out contact details, but we are making good progress.

Thank you. Yes, Mr. Nicolson.

Hello, Simon Nicholson from Rain. I don't know why I haven't been contacted as chair of the Residence Association in effect regarding this because obviously there's some, there should be we should be included in all parts of the process. Thank you.

So are you wishing to prepare a statement of common ground with the applicant then?

If I knew what a statement of common ground was then yes, I probably would.

Effectively, it's a document that is hopefully prepared to. Where you agree, but also to say where you disagree. So it helps us as an examining authority to understand those elements, which are key to on explaining the differences between you as much as saying where the agreement is, if there is any.

And then we can use that to aid our examination of the process so that we are focusing our time and energies in the right areas as opposed. To Focusing on things where perhaps matters are already agreed between parties. So that's the intention and hopefully the applicant will be content to engage with you to prepare that in the same way as they've indicated with the other parties. So if I just, I'm hopefully not stealing their thunder.

Thank you, sir. Claire Brook for the applicant, yes, we'd be very happy if rain are added to the list of parties for a statement of common ground. They don't currently appear in the list, but we are very happy to, for them to be added. Thank you.

Simon Nicholson from Rain again. Now you've explained it to me. I don't think we need to be included in that process as others are doing it on our.

In other words, there are other people who are looking after our interest for us, so I can be informed by them rather than me be getting involved in the process.

Yeah, statements are common ground. How best to explain this. You won't be excluded from the process at all if you don't provide one or effectively it's an additional document to refine the arguments between parties. And again I, it, I wouldn't seek to say, no, you shouldn't have one. But ultimately it's work that the applicant needs to do to engage with either yourself or your company.

And again, I would invite you to have a conversation outside of the room to see whether that's actually gonna be beneficial to the examination. But I'm quite content if both parties wish to go down that process, cuz again, it could be very helpful to us, but perhaps have that conversation.

Okay. Thank you very much. I'm not okay with the procedure and I don't want to miss out on the opportunity. I've been involved. Thank you.

No, that's absolutely fine there. There's no intention that anybody should be precluded, excluded by not doing a statement to COR ground. You still have the full opportunity to participate in any hearings that we hold or in any written submissions during the examination process so I want to reassure everybody that is, it's an additional element rather than anything else. Thank you is there anything further that the applicant, yeah. Thank you.

Thank you sir. Claire Brook for the applicant. There was just one further clarification I wanted to seek in relation to the timetable and specifically a document that you reference first at deadline one, where you refer to both a compulsory acquisition schedule as well as a status of negotiations schedule. We certainly will be and are preparing a compulsory acquisitions schedule, which does provide an update of where we are at with all negotiations with relevant land owners affected by the proposed development. And really it was just to seek clarity as to whether or not the status of negotiation schedule was something different that you would be looking for or whether or not that, a duplication of the same type of document.

Yeah, I think it is. It is. So again, sorry for any confusion that may have caused No problem.

That's helpful to get that clarified. Thank you.

Okay, so I think that was all of the items we had under item six. So if. Just check the no outstanding queries or questions on that matter.

Thank you. So if we move now on to item seven just briefly on the hearings that are going to take place tomorrow and Thursday. We have an issue specific hearing on the scope of the proposed development tomorrow, and we've set out an agenda . In Annex I to the Rule six letter, and then following on that on Thursday, issue specific hearing on the draft development consent order.

And again, we've set out an agenda item at Annex J in the Rule six letter so that any questions that anyone wish wished to raise with regard to those two matters.

Okay. So I'm not seeing any hands up or anything outside the room. So come finally onto our agenda. Item number eight. Any other matters? Does anyone have anything further they would wish to raise that has not already been raised about the examination process? I'll again, I'll open it to the floor in the first instance.

Council just a point of clarification really, on the hearing process. We've already mentioned open floor hearings and you've stressed that these have not yet been requested. At what point are these supposed to be Requested if you like. That's the first question. And the second question is that where we or residents wish to speak on specific issues, do we raise those at is ASH one or two or alternatively wait for an O fh, which we don't know is taking place yet.

So it's just a point of clarification. I've registered to, to speak on various issues both tomorrow and Thursday. I'm not sure whether it is the right time to do but at the same point, I don't want to lose the opportunity to put views and concerns forward. All right. Thank you.

Yeah. I'll try and respond in a moment, but if I just wait to see if there's anyone else got anything further that they wish.

Mr. Nicolson.

Hello, Simon Nicholson, uk. W not UK W from Rain. One thing that is very large in my mind that the process prior to this the process that you are going through now, I feel has been quite. Inefficient. I'm trying to pick my words carefully regarding the actual publicity of the proposal. The angle I'm coming at is that if residents haven't been informed of what is proposed, and that includes myself, who live in sight of the proposed site.

Have still not received any documentation from the proposer regarding what they're proposing? I've waited month upon month. That's well over a year now since having long conversations with the applicant and their advisors. I'm still waiting for an explanation as to why I haven't received The correct documentation.

So if that is me in the position I'm in, what about the rest of the residents who maybe don't even know anything about this project because they haven't been informed? It's not to do with distance, it's to do with efficiency, publicity, and a lot of the members of the village, I live in. I'll go into the, be the beneficiaries of the pollution coming out to the top of the chimney.

So it's quite important that that part of the procedure, which hasn't been followed in my eyes ought to put a stall on the process purely because the prior process hasn't been follow. I dunno what your thoughts are on that.

Thank you. Anyone else got anything they would wish to raise on this final agenda item? Okay. Can I just ask for any responses then from the applicant on anything they've heard so far?

Thank you sir CLaire Brook for the applicant. If I may touch very briefly on what Mr. Nicholson has just referred to in terms of the consultation process. I can't speak specifically for Mr. Nicholson's personal position You will be aware of the process that we needed to go through in order for the application to be accepted by the planning inspectorate in terms of the consultation, both under Section 42, section 47 with the local community and section 48 in terms of how we advertise the application, both in the local and the national press.

As a consequence of the acceptance process, you will be. That we needed to satisfy the planning inspectorate that we had complied with all of those requirements in both section 42, 47 and 48. And that document reference for the checklist is PD 0 0 2. We also then have to, as a consequence of the legal requirements, do an adequacy of consultation request to all of the relevant authorities in the area and the relevant documents, again, just for ease of reference AOC 0 0 1, which is the initial request that we sent out to all relevant authorities, and their responses are at AOC Two to seven. So certainly in terms of Mr. Nicholson's individual position we can look into that and discuss that outside of the hearing. But that's all I need to add at this stage. I think So

Simon Nicholson from Rain I think. The situation is that I've asked the question on many occasions of solar 21 or N L G P, whatever guys or angle of representation that has been suggested by themselves. Also, I've done it in person on two occasions At consultation meetings for a request of why, and now I feel it's quite complicated and embarrassing for the applicant that they have to have a conversation outside of a public forum when I've even gone to the extent of ringing their head office in Dublin to try and get an explanation and I haven't had no, no response from them whatsoever. Thank you.

I think we're unlikely to get a detailed answer as Ms. Brooks already indicated she's not in a position to say with regard to your individual personal position today, but I. What I can ask for is that conversation does take place and we get a written explanation for the first deadline, so we know exactly what has happened and what the applicant's position is on that detailed element.

And equally, you will then know. So hopefully that will provide everybody with, if not total reassurance, at least an understanding of the picture So if I can just come back

Certainly. Yes. Just in the meantime, I have been able to double check with my clients and my understanding and my instructions are that there has been direct engagement with Mr. Nicholson. I believe he may have attended some of the consultation events as well, that were part of the formal statutory consultation process. But certainly very happy to continue to engage and to clarify exactly what our engagement has been to date and to ensure that going forward. We do continue to engage with Mr. Nicholson during this process. Thank you.

And I just try and provide a brief explanation in terms of the different types of hearings issue. Specific hearings are there to deal with specific issues that we've identified. We need to have a hearing on. There won't be a hearing on every single issue. It's obviously intended to be a written process in the main, the hearings are there to assist us to get to nitty gritty, which perhaps hasn't been fully explained or we haven't fully understood. An open floor hearing is just that, is an open hearing enabling all parties to express their views, concerns. The benefits. So it may well be that's the opportunity that you are talking about, not just for the public, but for yourself. Because of the way the rules are written, open floor hearings need to be requested, and that's set out within the timetable. And I can't remember off the top of my head which deadline it is that we've asked. But it is in there and assuming we get a request and it may be an individual request, so yourself would be sufficient, then an open floor hearing would then take place. And obviously all interested parties can attend and express their views and clearly the way that it would work, we would obviously wish to hear who's wanting to attend so that we know how many hearings we need to facilitate.

And then we can get that into the time table to make sure that we hear what people's views are. Thank you. Thank you.

Okay. So I think unless anyone has any other final matters,

Just to very quickly clarify, sir, it is deadline one. Thank you for notification for the open floor hearing, so the 1st of December. Thank you. So if there's nothing further then I think we can close the meeting. I can adjourn it now.

Thank you all for your attendance and we look forward to working with you as we go through the examination. Thank you very much, .